

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,016	08/26/2003	Martin Alter	M-085	3466
7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
Eugene H. Valet ValetParents			PAREKH, NITIN	
314 10th Ave. South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Edmonds, WA 98020-3312			2811	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(-)
Advisory Action	10/648,016	ALTER, MARTIN	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nitin Parekh	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	:ss
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to ch places the application	to a on in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma	iling date of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of th no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expi ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	re later than SIX MONTHS from the maili AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF	ing date of the final rejection FHE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The entire been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periodee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Commely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	ed of extension and the corresponding am of the shortened statutory period for repl Office later than three months after the ma	nount of the fee. The approp y originally set in the final O	priate extension flice action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 	nt's Brief must be filed within the p FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju	ection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ald be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims			ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,3,6-8 a	and 10-12.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a		the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten			
		·	
10. Other:		NitriParde	
		· · · · · ·	
		Nitin Parekh Primary Examiner Technology Center 2	2800

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed limitations as recited in the independent claim 1 warrant further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 9 is held prima facie obvious over the applied references due to the reasons of record.